

LOCAL TOOL

Total Score _____

Ranking _____

2018 New and Renewal Projects Pre-Application Rating and Ranking Scoring Criteria

Organization _____ Project Name _____

Is applicant a Domestic Violence Shelter or Provider? Yes No

Reviewer _____ Date _____

A. Renewal Pre-Application/Program Administration: 25 Possible Points		
	Possible Score	Project Score
1. Was the Pre-Application submitted by the deadline? Yes=5, No=0	5	
2. Was the Pre-Application complete and accurate? <i>For example, were all required documents attached; were all questions fully answered; was all identifying information regarding the applicant accurate?</i> Yes=5, No=0	5	
3. Does the applicant demonstrate experience necessary to carry out the activities of the project as described? Yes=5, No=0	5	
4. If the applicant has any existing HUD McKinney-Vento grants (including CoC and ESG grants) that have unresolved monitoring or audit findings, does the applicant provide a plan to apply corrective actions? Yes=5, No=0, No issues=5	5	
5. Has CoC identified any concerns regarding the applicant's ability to administer a new grant? Yes=0, No=5	5	
Total Score for Section A	25	
B. HMIS/Coordinated Entry Participation: 37 Possible Points		
This section addresses ensuring all program participants are included in HMIS and are listed completely	Possible Score	Project Score
1. Did the Applicant run at least one data completeness report card? <i>(data completeness report card should be attached to the pre-application)</i> Yes _____ No _____	7	
<p>Note: If applicant is a DV shelter and/or service provider, participation in HMIS/SP is optional. A 'waiver' will be given to such applicants, and the score for this section would be 7.</p>		

<p>2. Did applicant's percentage of beds entered into HMIS/ServicePoint meet the projected numbers listed in the FY 2018 application?</p> <p>Scale of 1-10: For example, 80% = 8 points, 100% = 10 points</p> <p>Note: If applicant project is an SSO (supportive services only), there is no equivalent question on the APR for this type of project. A 'waiver' will be given to such applicants, and the auto-score for this section would 10.</p>	10		
<p>3. Did the applicant participate fully in Coordinated Entry?</p> <p>Participation in HMIS/CE = 4 points Utilization of Centralized Interest List for Housing = 4 points Participation in PIT data = 4 points Participation in HIC data = 4 points Utilization of assessments (VI-SPDAT and TAY-SPDAT) = 4 points</p>	20		
Total Score for Section B		37	
C. Logic Model Output: 25 possible points			
	Possible Score	Project Score	
<p>1. Inputs (Resources) <i>(What is needed to conduct activities)</i></p>	10		

<p>2. Activities (Processes) <i>(Actions to produce outputs)</i></p>	5	
<p>3. Outputs (what, how much, for whom) <i>(Direct products of activities which are expected to impact progress toward priority outcomes.)</i></p>	5	
<p>4. Priority Outcomes (Changes/Benefits) <i>(Top three priority changes expected within the term of this grant – short term)</i></p>	5	
Total Score for Section C	25	

D. Engagement in CoC Activities: 23 Possible Points

This section will determine level of grantee commitment to CoC operations.	Possible Score	Project Score
<p>1. Did a representative of the applicant actively serve on the CoC Board and/or actively participate on a CoC workgroup or a sub-committee or otherwise participate in the CoC during the last year?</p> <p>Note: Participation is always voluntary, but organizations which participate in the actual operation of the CoC will be eligible for these additional points. Calculation will use percentage of # of scheduled meetings per 'type' and/or specific 'asks' for assistance:</p> <p><50%=0, 50%-65%=1, 66%-75%=2, 76%-82%=5. 83%=6, >83%=7</p>	7	
<p>2. Did a representative of the applicant attend officially scheduled monthly CoC or Homeless Task Force or other workgroup meetings with an attendance record of 83% or better? (<i>review of official CoC Board or HTF or other workgroup meeting attendance record maintained by Continuum of Care Coordinator, workgroup chairs and Board Secretary</i>)</p> <p><50%=0, 50%-65%=1, 66%-75%=2, 76%-82%=4. 83%=5, >83%=6</p>	6	
<p>3. Did the applicant consistently use ServicePoint?</p> <p>Yes=5, No=0</p>	5	
<p>4. Did the applicant submit the Housing Inventory Count data by deadline?</p> <p>Yes=5, No=0</p>	5	
Total Score for Section D	23	
<p><i>TA/more information requested?</i> _____</p> <p>Notes:</p>		

Scoring Matrix for Projects

	Agency/ Project	CW	HAL	WP	RR	HMIS	DV Bonus	Possible Pts
Preapplication Score (LOCAL Score)								
A1		5	5	5	5	5	5	5
A2		5	0	5	1	5	5	5
A3		5	4	5	3	3	5	5
A4		5	5	5	5	5	5	5
A5		4	3.5	5	3	3	5	5
B1		7	7	7	7	7	7	7
B2		8	10	10				10
B3		18	20	16	16	16	18	20
Section C		24	22	20	18.75	21	24.5	25
D1		6	7	7	5.5	7	6	7
D2		4	6	5.5	4.5	6	5	6
D3		5	5	5	5	5	5	5
D4		5	5	5	4	5	5	5
LOCAL SCORE	Total	101	99.5	100.5	77.75	88	95.5	110
HUD Rating and Ranking Tool								
Weighted Score - HUD Rating & Ranking Tool*		75	61	85	n/a	n/a	n/a	
TOTAL SCORE FOR RENEWALS = (2 x HUD + LOCAL)/3		80	74.8	86.5				
TOTAL SCORE FOR NEW AND BONUS					72.75	83	90.5	
OVERALL RANKING		4	5	2	6	3	1	
* renewal projects only								